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Summary

We reviewed available data on the frequency of obstetrical interventions (caesarean sections, episiotomies, and oxytocics) in developing countries. Rates of caesarean sections are increasing and are higher than 15% in a majority of Latin American countries and in some regions of Asia. There is no indication of rising rates of caesarean sections in Africa, but rates are already higher than 5% in many urban areas of East and Southern Africa. The situation is different in West Africa, where only Ghana has a rate higher than 5% in urban areas.

High episiotomy rates have been reported in Africa and Latin America. Hospitals in Argentina and Nigeria have episiotomy rates higher than 80% among primiparae. A 37% episiotomy rate among primiparae was reported in Burkina Faso.

High rates of use of oxytocin during the first and second stage of labor have also been observed in Africa. In three African urban areas, oxytocin was used in more than 20% of deliveries.

We conclude that the epidemic of caesarean sections continues in Latin America and extends into Asia. In addition, there are signs of a worldwide epidemic of other obstetrical interventions. There is an urgent need to build strong strategies to promote evidence-based interventions.
Introduction

Developing countries, as other regions of the world, are faced to the challenge of making the best use possible of limited resources to improve the health of women and children. Obstetrical interventions should be evidence-based, and interventions effective only in high-risk groups should not be used routinely. Morbidity and mortality caused by unnecessary interventions could be a significant problem, and a world-wide epidemic of obstetrical interventions could have a serious negative health impact. However, it is unclear if such a world-wide epidemic exists, because studies have generally been focused on one country or region. Our objective is to review available data on the frequency of obstetrical interventions in all regions of the developing world. We will focus this review on three interventions: cesarean sections, episiotomies, and oxytocics. We will also discuss potential strategies to decrease the use of unnecessary interventions.
Caesarean Sections

The World Health Organization recommends that rates of caesarean sections should not be higher than 15% (WHO 1985). However, rates higher than 5% are not justified by well-established maternal medical indications (De Brouwere 1997).

It is well documented that caesarean section rates are very high in many Latin American countries. Belizan et al. 1999 estimated that twelve of the nineteen Latin American countries they examined had caesarean section rates above 15%. They calculated that around 850,000 unnecessary caesarean sections are performed each year in the Latin American region. A paper commenting Belizán’s data suggested that a world-wide epidemic of caesarean sections might be going on (Flamm 2000). Data from Asia indeed suggest that the epidemic is not limited to Latin America. In one Chinese hospital, the caesarean section rate increased from 11.0% in 1990 to 29.9% in 1997 (Wu 2000). A population-based survey conducted in Shanghai, China, showed that caesarean section rates increased from 4.7% in 1960-1979 to 22.5% in 1988-1993 (Cai et al. 1998). In Thailand, caesarean section rates increased from 15% in 1990 to 22% in 1996 (Saropala & Suthutvoravut 1999). A 1997 population-based survey from an affluent section of Chennai, India, found a caesarean section rate of 45% (Pai et al. 1999). Household surveys from rural Kerala, India, showed that caesarean section rates increased from 11.9% in 1987 to 21.4% in 1996 (Thankappan 1999). A hospital-based study performed in one city in Kerala found a 10% caesarean section rate in government hospitals compared to 30% in private hospitals (Thankappan 1999).

To further explore this issue, we have performed an on-line distance analysis of (Demographic and Health Survey 2000). For each survey for which data were available, we have calculated urban and rural caesarean section rates for deliveries that occurred during the three years preceding the interviews. The results (Table 1) confirm that rates are higher than 15% in most Latin American urban areas, and are increasing. They also show that urban rates are higher than 5% in the Asian countries for which data were available. No urban area in Africa has rates higher than 15%. However, many countries from East and Southern Africa had caesarean section rates higher than 5% in urban areas. The situation is clearly different in West Africa, where only Ghana has a rate higher than 5% in urban areas. In several African countries, data are available for two time periods. Urban rates increased very slightly in Niger, Madagascar, and Tanzania, but decreased in Burkina Faso, Ghana, Kenya, and Zambia. There is thus no indication of a rapid epidemic of caesarean sections in Africa.

Table 1. Percentages of live births with caesarean section in the last three years preceding the survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Urban C. section rates (%)</th>
<th>Rural C. section rates (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yemen</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Africa</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Africa</td>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Caesarean Section Rate</td>
<td>Maternal Mortality Rate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivory Coast</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Togo</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>East Africa</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comoros</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Southern Africa</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>South East Asia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indian Subcontinent</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Caribbean</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Central America</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>South America</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sources: Demographic and Health Survey 2000 and International Institute for Population Sciences 1995*

Very low rates of caesarean sections are also a matter of concern. Rates lower than 1% indicate a lack of access to obstetrical care and a risk of maternal death (De Brouwere 1997). Others have suggested that caesarean section rates should not be lower than 3% (Cisse et al. 1998). The most recent data from Table 1 show that caesarean section rates were lower than 1% in rural areas in Chad, Mali, Niger, Madagascar, Zambia, Nepal, and Haiti. Data from
two time periods were available for Niger, Madagascar, and Zambia. Sadly, caesarean section rates decreased in rural areas in each of these three countries, suggesting that access to caesarean sections is worsening in the poorest areas of Africa.

One might wonder if it is possible to increase rates of caesarean sections in rural areas without overly increasing the rates in urban areas. A few examples suggest that it might be possible to do so. In Burkina Faso, rural caesarean section rates increased from 0.7% in 1992 to 1.1% in 1999, while urban rates decreased from 4.5% to 2.3%. In Kenya, rural caesarean section rates increased from 4.3% in 1993 to 6.0% in 1998, while urban rates decreased from 13.4% to 10.5%. However, in many other countries, an increase in rural rates was paralleled by an increase in urban rates.
Episiotomies

Episiotomy has been practised with increasing frequency within recent years without strong scientific evidence of its effectiveness. A systematic review of six randomized controlled trials comparing the possible beneficial and harmful effects of selective versus routine use of episiotomy has been recently published (Carroli & Belizán 2000). The selective use of episiotomy shows a lower risk of clinically relevant morbidity including posterior perineal trauma (Relative Risk (RR) 0.88, 95% Confidence Interval (CI) 0.84 to 0.92), a reduced need for suturing perineal trauma (RR 0.74, 95% CI 0.71 to 0.77), and fewer healing complications at seven days (RR 0.69, 95% CI 0.56 to 0.85). The only disadvantage shown in the selective use of episiotomy is an increased risk of anterior perineal trauma (RR 1.79, 95% CI 1.55 to 2.07). There was no difference in the incidence of major complications, such as severe vaginal or perineal trauma nor in pain, dyspareunia or urinary incontinence. There is clear evidence to recommend a selective use of episiotomy.

A recent Editorial of the British Medical Journal strongly advocated the need to decrease the use of routine episiotomies in developing countries (Maduma-Butshe et al. 1998). The authors polled 10 midwives from Ghana, Kenya, Malawi, Nepal, Nigeria, and Zambia, attending courses in Liverpool, England. Most respondents indicated that health professionals perform episiotomies routinely on primiparae to prevent third degree perineal tears. Maduma-Butshe et al. 1998 also cited a study from Botswana, where one in three mothers having a normal delivery had episiotomy. A study from Burkina Faso reported an episiotomy rate of 37% among primiparae (Lorenz et al. 1998). The rate was 46% among primiparae when trained midwives attended the delivery and of 26% among primiparae delivered by auxiliary midwives. A study of all vaginal deliveries performed in 1997 and 1998 at the University of Benin Teaching Hospital, Benin City, Nigeria found episiotomy rates of 46.6% among all deliveries, and of 87.4% among primiparae (Otoide et al. 2000).

High frequencies of episiotomies have been reported in Latin America. Several studies from Argentina showed that episiotomy is routinely performed among primiparae. Eight hospitals from the City of Rosario participated in a randomized controlled trial comparing routine and selective use of episiotomies (Argentine episiotomy Trial Collaborative Group 1993). The episiotomy rate among primiparae was 90.7% in the four control hospitals, compared to 39.5% in the four intervention hospitals. A follow up study performed in one of the intervention hospitals showed that the rate of episiotomies among primiparae increased again after the end of the trial, and reached 65.3% in 1996 (Belizan et al. 1998). Another Argentine study showed that in the Province of Nequen, the episiotomy rate was 45.9% among all vaginal births, with hospital rates ranging from 33.4% to 62.5% (Cravchik et al. 1998). Hospital rates of episiotomies among primiparae ranged from 81.5% to 96.0%, and differences among hospitals were not statistically significant.

The situation might be different in other countries, regions, or hospitals. Women interviewed in a population-based maternal morbidity study from southern India reported that an episiotomy was performed in 9% of deliveries (Bhatia 1995). An episiotomy rate lower than 1% has been reported in a small secondary care facility in Jamaica (Doherty & Cohen 1993). However, a study from the University Hospital of the West Indies showed overall episiotomy rates of 31.5% among low birth weight infants delivered vaginally (The 1990).
Oxytocics

The use of oxytocics is part of the package of basic emergency obstetrical care recommended by international agencies (Donnay 2000). There is very strong evidence in favor of injecting oxytocics routinely during the third stage of labor. A systematic review of four trials that compared active management (including injection of oxytocics) of third stage to expectant management showed that routine active management is superior to expectant management in terms of blood loss, post-partum haemorrhage (RR 0.35 95% CI 0.28-0.42), severe post-partum haemorrhage (RR 0.37 95% CI 0.23-0.61), the need of blood transfusion during the puerperium (RR 0.34 95% CI 0.22-0.54) and postpartum anaemia (RR 0.40 95% CI 0.29-0.55) (Prendiville et al. 2000).

Table 2. Use of oxytocics in developing countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country (city)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Oxytocin during 1st and/or 2nd stage of labor (%)</th>
<th>Oxytocin during 3rd stage of labor or postpartum (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica (Parish A)</td>
<td>1986-1987</td>
<td>(Escoffery et al. 1994)</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>90.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica (Parish B1)</td>
<td>1986-1987</td>
<td>(Escoffery et al. 1994)</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>85.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica (Parish B2)</td>
<td>1986-1987</td>
<td>(Escoffery et al. 1994)</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>82.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica (Parish C)</td>
<td>1986-1987</td>
<td>(Escoffery et al. 1994)</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>80.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin (Abomey)</td>
<td>1990-1991</td>
<td>(Dujardin et al. 1995)</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin (Porto Novo)</td>
<td>1990-1991</td>
<td>(Dujardin et al. 1995)</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo (Loubomo)</td>
<td>1990-1991</td>
<td>(Dujardin et al. 1995)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal (Pikine)</td>
<td>1990-1991</td>
<td>(Dujardin et al. 1995)</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivory Coast (Abidjan)</td>
<td>1994-1996</td>
<td>(Bouvier-Colle et al. 1998)</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali (Bamako)</td>
<td>1994-1996</td>
<td>(Bouvier-Colle et al. 1998)</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger (Niamey)</td>
<td>1994-1996</td>
<td>(Bouvier-Colle et al. 1998)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritania (Nouakchott)</td>
<td>1994-1996</td>
<td>(Bouvier-Colle et al. 1998)</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkina Faso (Ouagadougou)</td>
<td>1994-1996</td>
<td>(Bouvier-Colle et al. 1998)</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal (St Louis)</td>
<td>1994-1996</td>
<td>(Bouvier-Colle et al. 1998)</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>63.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal (Kaolack)</td>
<td>1994-1996</td>
<td>(Bouvier-Colle et al. 1998)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal (Kathmandu)</td>
<td>1995-1996</td>
<td>(Ellis et al., 2000)</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA denotes data not available.

How frequently oxytocin needs to be used during the first and second stages of labor is a far more controversial issue. Oxytocin is used both to induce and to augment labor. The administration of excessive doses of oxytocin may cause hyper-stimulation and even uterine contracture (Dujardin et al. 1995). The risk is probably higher in developing counties where the drug is often administered by intra-muscular injection, or without a pump controlling the speed of intravenous infusion. Studies from West Africa and Nepal suggest an increased risk of foetal distress and neonatal morbidity associated with the use of oxytocin during labor (Dujardin et al. 1995, Ellis et al. 2000).

Data on the use of oxytocics are very limited. However, Table 2 shows large variations of frequencies of use of oxytocin during first and second stage of labor. Interestingly enough, the two extreme values of the distribution were observed in Senegal, with a frequency of 2.5% in Kaolack and of 32.9% in St Louis. The table also shows that active management of the third stage of labor was far more common in Jamaica than in West Africa.
Strategies to Decrease the Use of Unnecessary Interventions

Health authorities, agencies, and consumers could all play a role in changing birth practices. However, change will probably not be possible without a very pro-active strategy targeting birth attendants themselves. Many methods to change medical behaviour have been used in industrialized countries. A comprehensive review by (Oxman et al. 1995) examined 102 studies of improving physician practices and concluded that there are no “magic bullets.” They suggest that the best approach is to combine several strategies, such as local opinion leaders, workshops, outreach visits (academic detailing), reminders, and audit and feedback.

Several randomized controlled trials have been performed in North America and Europe to evaluate strategies to change behaviours of birth attendants (Lomas et al. 1991, Hodnett et al. 1996, Wyatt et al. 1998, Leviton et al. 1999). For example, (Lomas et al. 1991) conducted a trial in Canada with 76 physicians, to test 3 interventions to increase the number of vaginal births after caesarean section. The interventions compared were 1) distribution of educational materials, 2) local opinion leaders + distribution of educational materials and 3) audit and feedback + distribution of educational materials. The use of opinion leaders was significantly more effective than audit and feedback and than educational materials alone in increasing the number of women offered a trial of labor and increasing the number of vaginal births.

Unfortunately, very few trials of a similar nature have been performed in developing countries, and they were not focused on birth practices (Ross-Degnan et al. 1996, Santoso 1996). There is an urgent need to perform randomized controlled trials to evaluate strategies to change birth practices in developing countries.
Discussion and Conclusions

We have found high frequencies of obstetrical interventions in every region of the developing world. There is an ongoing epidemic of caesarean sections in Asia and Latin America. There is no indication of rising rates of caesarean sections in Africa, but rates are already high in many countries of East and Southern Africa.

The low rates of caesarean sections observed in many West African countries might reflect a lack of resources more than a consensus of birth attendants. Episiotomies and oxytocics are used very often in African countries having low caesarean section rates. This suggests that many birth attendants favor frequent use of interventions. Better availability of operating theaters in such countries could thus trigger the same epidemic of caesarean sections as the one observed elsewhere. Better availability of operating theaters is of course urgently needed, but should, in our opinion, be accompanied by the promotion of evidence-based practices. This should not be limited to appropriate use of caesarean sections, episiotomies, and oxytocics. Many other interventions might be overused, even though data are not available to study trends in their use. There is a need for in depth studies on the use of interventions such as perineal shaving, enema, and vacuum and forceps extraction.

We conclude that there is indeed a world-wide epidemic of obstetrical interventions, and that countries that have not observed such epidemic yet will probably face it soon. This should by no mean slow down our efforts to provide better access to obstetrical care. However, it should encourage us to build stronger strategies to promote evidence-based interventions.
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